

I. AMENDMENTS

Please cancel claims 2, 10, 11, 13, 14, 17 to 20, 23, 25 to 28, 33 to 36, 46, 60, 62 to 71, 73 to 75, and 77 to 82 without prejudice, and amend claim 76 as shown. Upon entry of the present amendment, the status of the claims will be as follows:

1. (Original) A method of inferring, with a predetermined level of confidence, a trait of an individual, comprising:
 - a) contacting a sample comprising nucleic acid molecules of a test individual with hybridizing oligonucleotides,

wherein the hybridizing nucleotides can detect nucleotide occurrences of single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) of a panel of at least about ten ancestry informative markers (AIMs) indicative of a population structure correlated with the trait, and

wherein said contacting is performed under conditions suitable for detecting the nucleotide occurrences of the AIMs of the test individual by the hybridizing oligonucleotides; and
 - b) identifying, with a predetermined level of confidence, a population structure that correlates with the nucleotide occurrences of the AIMs in the test individual, wherein the population structure correlates with a trait,

thereby inferring, with a predetermined level of confidence, the trait of the individual.
2. (Cancelled)
3. (Original) The method of claim 1, wherein the trait comprises biogeographical ancestry (BGA).

In re Application of:
Frudakis and Shriver
Application No.: 10/644,594
Filed: August 19, 2003
Page 3

PATENT
Attorney Docket No.: DNA1170-2

4. (Original) The method of claim 3, wherein the panel comprises AIMs set forth as SEQ ID NOS:1 to 331.

5. (Original) The method of claim 3, wherein the panel comprises AIMs set forth as SEQ ID NOS:1 to 71.

6. (Original) The method of claim 3, wherein the panel comprises AIMs set forth as:
SEQ ID NOS:7, 21, 23, 27, 45, 54, 59, 63, and 72 to 152;
SEQ ID NOS:3, 8, 9, 11, 12, 33, 40, 59, 63, and 153 to 239;
SEQ ID NOS:1, 8, 11, 21, 24, 40, 172, and 240 to 331; or
combinations thereof.

7. (Original) The method of claim 1, wherein at least one AIM of the panel is not linked to a gene linked to the trait.

8. (Original) The method of claim 3, wherein the BGA comprises a proportion of a sub-Saharan African, Native American, IndoEuropean, or East Asian ancestral group, or a combination of said ancestral groups.

9. (Original) The method of claim 8, wherein the BGA comprises a proportion of at least three ancestral groups.

10 and 11. (Cancelled)

12. (Original) The method of claim 1, wherein the trait comprises responsiveness of the individual to a drug.

In re Application of:
Frudakis and Shriver
Application No.: 10/644,594
Filed: August 19, 2003
Page 4

PATENT
Attorney Docket No.: DNA1170-2

13 and 14. (Cancelled)

15. (Original) The method of claim 1, wherein the trait comprises susceptibility to a disease.

16. (Original) The method of claim 15, wherein the disease has an ethnic predisposition.

17 to 20. (Cancelled)

21. (Original) The method of claim 15, wherein the disease is alcoholism.

22. (Original) The method of claim 1, wherein the trait comprises a pigmentation trait.

23. (Cancelled)

24. (Original) The method of claim 1, further comprising identifying, with a predetermined level of confidence, a sub-population structure of the population structure that correlates with the nucleotide occurrences of the AIMs in the test individual, wherein the sub-population structure correlates with a trait.

25 to 28. (Cancelled)

29. (Original) The method of claim 1, which is performed in a high throughput format.

30. (Original) The method of claim 1, which is performed in a multiplex format.

31. (Original) A method of estimating, with a predetermined level of confidence, proportional ancestry of at least two ancestral groups of an individual, comprising:

- a) contacting a sample comprising nucleic acid molecules of a test individual with hybridizing oligonucleotides, wherein the hybridizing oligonucleotides can detect nucleotide occurrences of single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) of a panel of at least about ten ancestry informative markers (AIMs) indicative of biogeographical ancestry (BGA) for each ancestral group examined, and wherein said contacting is under conditions suitable for detecting the nucleotide occurrences of the AIMs of the test individual by the hybridizing oligonucleotides; and
- b) identifying, with a predetermined level of confidence, a population structure that correlates with the nucleotide occurrences of the AIMs of each of the ancestral groups examined, wherein the population structure is indicative of proportional ancestry, thereby estimating, with a predetermined level of confidence, proportional ancestry of the individual.

32. (Original) The method of claim 31, wherein the proportional ancestry comprises a proportion of a sub-Saharan African ancestral group, a Native American ancestral group, an IndoEuropean ancestral group, an East Asian ancestral group, or a combination thereof.

33 to 36. (Cancelled)

37. (Original) The method of claim 31, wherein the panel for at least one of the ancestral groups comprises AIMs set forth as:

SEQ ID NOS:7, 21, 23, 27, 45, 54, 59, 63, and 72 to 152;

SEQ ID NOS:3, 8, 9, 11, 12, 33, 40, 59, 63, and 153 to 239;

SEQ ID NOS:1, 8, 11, 21, 24, 40, 172, and 240 to 331; or
combinations thereof.

38. (Original) The method of claim 31, wherein at least one AIM of the panel is not linked to a gene linked to the trait.

39. (Original) The method of claim 31, wherein the proportional ancestry comprises proportions of three ancestral groups, and wherein identifying a population structure that correlates with the nucleotide occurrences of the AIMs of the test individual comprises:

performing a likelihood determination for affiliation with each of a sub-Saharan African ancestral group, a Native American ancestral group, an IndoEuropean ancestral group, and an East Asian ancestral group;

thereafter selecting three ancestral groups having a greatest likelihood value;

determining a likelihood of all possible proportional affiliations among the three ancestral groups having the greatest likelihood value, whereby a population structure or proportional affiliation that correlates with the nucleotide occurrences of the AIMs of the test individual is identified; and

identifying a single proportional combination of maximum likelihood, thereby estimating the proportional ancestry of the individual.

40. (Original) The method of claim 31, wherein the proportional ancestry comprises proportions of three ancestral groups, and wherein identifying a population structure that correlates with the nucleotide occurrences of the AIMs comprises:

performing six two-way comparisons comprising likelihood determinations for affiliation between each group with each other group;

thereafter selecting three ancestral groups having a greatest likelihood value;

determining a likelihood of all possible proportional affiliations among the three ancestral groups having the greatest likelihood value, whereby a population structure or proportional affiliation that correlates with the nucleotide occurrences of the AIMs of the test individual is identified; and

identifying a single proportional combination of maximum likelihood, thereby estimating the proportional ancestry of the individual.

41. (Original) The method of claim 31, wherein the proportional ancestry comprises proportions of three ancestral groups, and

wherein identifying a population structure that correlates with the nucleotide occurrences of the AIMs of the test individual comprises performing three three-way comparisons among the groups,

determining a likelihood of all possible proportional affiliations among the three ancestral groups having the greatest likelihood value, whereby a population structure or proportional affiliation that correlates with the nucleotide occurrences of the AIMs of the test individual is identified; and

identifying a single proportional combination of maximum likelihood, thereby estimating the proportional ancestry of the individual.

42. (Original) The method of claim 31, wherein the proportional ancestry comprises proportions of four ancestral groups, and

wherein identifying a population structure that correlates with the nucleotide occurrences of the AIMs of the test individual comprises performing six two-way comparisons, three three-way comparisons, or one four-way comparison among the groups;

determining a likelihood of all possible proportional affiliations among the four ancestral groups having the greatest likelihood value, whereby a population structure or proportional affiliation that correlates with the nucleotide occurrences of the AIMs of the test individual is identified; and

identifying a single proportional combination of maximum likelihood, thereby estimating the proportional ancestry of the individual.

43. (Original) The method of claim 40, further comprising generating a graphical representation of the comparison of the three ancestral groups, said graphical representation comprising a triangle with each an ancestral group independently represented by a vertex of the triangle, wherein the maximum likelihood value of proportional affiliation for an individual comprises a point within the triangle.

44. (Original) The method of claim 43, wherein the graphical representation further comprises a confidence contour indicating a level of confidence associated with estimating the proportional ancestry.

45. (Original) The method of claim 31, further comprising identifying, with a predetermined level of confidence, a sub-population structure of the population structure that correlates with the nucleotide occurrences of the AIMs in the test individual, wherein the sub-population structure correlates with ethnicity of the test individual.

46. (Cancelled)

47. (Original) The method of claim 45, wherein the ancestral group is IndoEuropean, and wherein the ethnicity comprises Northern European or Mediterranean.

48. (Original) The method of claim 31, further comprising generating an ancestral map of the world, wherein locations of populations having a proportional ancestry corresponding to the proportional ancestry of the test individual are indicated on the ancestral map.

49. (Original) The method of claim 48, further comprising

a) overlaying the ancestral map with a genealogical map, wherein the genealogical map indicates locations of populations having geopolitical relevance with respect to the test individual, and

b) statistically combining the information of the ancestral map and genealogical map to obtain a most likely estimate of family history of the test individual.

50. (Original) The method of claim 31, wherein identifying a population structure that correlates with the nucleotide occurrences of the AIMs comprises comparing the nucleotide occurrences of the AIMs of the test individual with known proportional ancestries corresponding to nucleotide occurrences of AIMs indicative of BGA.

51. (Original) The method of claim 50, wherein the known proportional ancestries corresponding to nucleotide occurrences of AIMs indicative of BGA are contained in a database.

In re Application of:
Frudakis and Shriver
Application No.: 10/644,594
Filed: August 19, 2003
Page 10

PATENT
Attorney Docket No.: DNA1170-2

52. (Original) The method of claim 51, wherein the comparing is performed using a computer.

53. (Original) The method of claim 50, wherein each of the known proportional ancestries corresponding to nucleotide occurrences of AIMs indicative of BGA further comprises of photograph of a person from whom the known proportional ancestry was determined.

54. (Original) The method of claim 53, wherein the photograph comprises a digital photograph.

55. (Original) The method of claim 54, wherein digital information comprising the digital photograph is contained in a database.

56. (Original) The method of claim 55, wherein the digital information in the database is associated with a known proportional ancestry corresponding to nucleotide occurrences of AIMs indicative of BGA of the person in the photograph.

57. (Original) The method of claim 51, further comprising identifying a photograph of a person having a proportional ancestry corresponding to the proportional ancestry of the test individual.

In re Application of:
Frudakis and Shriver
Application No.: 10/644,594
Filed: August 19, 2003
Page 11

PATENT
Attorney Docket No.: DNA1170-2

58. (Original) The method of claim 57, wherein identifying the photograph comprises scanning a database comprising a plurality of files, each file comprising digital information corresponding to a digital photograph of a person having a known proportional ancestry, and identifying at least one photograph of a person having nucleotide occurrences of AIMs indicative of BGA that correspond to the nucleotide occurrences of AIMs indicative of BGA of the test individual.

59. (Original) An article of manufacture, comprising at least one photograph of a person having a known proportional ancestry corresponding to a population structure comprising nucleotide occurrences of AIMs indicative of biogeographical ancestry (BGA).

60. (Cancelled)

61. (Original) A plurality of files comprising the article of manufacture of claim 59, wherein files of the plurality comprise at least one photograph of a person having a known proportional ancestry corresponding to a population structure comprising nucleotide occurrences of AIMs indicative of BGA.

62 to 71. (Cancelled)

72. (Original) A kit, comprising a plurality of hybridizing oligonucleotides, which comprise at least fifteen contiguous nucleotides of at least five polynucleotides as set forth in SEQ ID NOS:1 to 331, or polynucleotides complementary thereto.

73 to 75. (Cancelled)

In re Application of:
Frudakis and Shriver
Application No.: 10/644,594
Filed: August 19, 2003
Page 12

PATENT
Attorney Docket No.: DNA1170-2

76. (Currently amended) The kit of claim 72, wherein hybridizing oligonucleotides of the plurality comprise at least one probe, at least one primer, at least one amplification primer pair, or a combination thereof.

77 to 82. (Cancelled)